Register Now! CHD's 2nd Annual Conference • Nov. 3-5

CDC's New 'Wild to Mild' Ad Campaign Hypes Flu Vaccines for Kids, Pregnant Women

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is launching a campaign called "Wild to Mild" to encourage flu vaccinations among children and pregnant women, despite long observed ineffectiveness and safety concerns.

By John Michael Dumais

Wild-o-Bug: Wild-o-Bug is the flu vaccine's Top Head of the Class. **It's Zero.**

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) this week launched a campaign called "Wild to Mild" set campaign to "banish the skepticism about flu vaccines," CNN Health reported.

The campaign, which will run on the radio and social media platforms starting this month, will feature "a cast of characters that will portray the effects of the flu," CNN reported, as seen in both of the images of Wild-o-Bug above.

Experts who spoke with The Defender, however, questioned the efficacy of flu vaccines and the potential harm they may cause.

The CDC also features case animal images, including one that depicts a tiger (in ferocious pursuit) and a child (in terrified fleeing) that's not overly subtle in its attempt to promote the idea that the flu vaccine, rather than preventing influenza altogether, will simply make the animal less vicious and yield a milder version of the illness.

The CNN article cited CDC data showing flu vaccination rates for pregnant women and children are "stubbornly low," and that "only about 50% of children and fewer than 60% of women have had the 2 million people were vaccinated against the past winter" among with "an estimated 52 million children," CNN reported.

Erin Burns, M.D., associate director for communications for the influenza division at the CDC, told CNN that the agency's campaign was designed to "meet people where they are, given a touch of humor and a lot of science."

Experts who spoke with The Defender, however, questioned the efficacy of flu vaccines and the potential harm they may cause.

The CDC also features case animal images, including one that depicts a tiger (in ferocious pursuit) and a child (in terrified fleeing) that's not overly subtle in its attempt to promote the idea that the flu vaccine, rather than preventing influenza altogether, will simply make the animal less vicious and yield a milder version of the illness.

The CNN article cited CDC data showing flu vaccination rates for pregnant women and children are "stubbornly low," and that "only about 50% of children and fewer than 60% of women have had the 2 million people were vaccinated against the past winter" among with "an estimated 52 million children," CNN reported.

Erin Burns, M.D., associate director for communications for the influenza division at the CDC, told CNN that the agency's campaign was designed to "meet people where they are, given a touch of humor and a lot of science."

CNN's report cited the CDC's claim that "most of the pregnant women had no intention of getting a flu vaccine and no awareness of the benefits it could bring to their unborn babies."

Dr. William Schaffner, infectious disease specialist at Vanderbilt University and a member of the CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, told CNN that "banishing vaccine skepticism" and "a decision was not adequately informing pregnant women about the risks of the flu.

"Women who get influenza and are pregnant may have rates of complication that rival that of senior citizens," Schaffner said, adding "they [doctors] have to be more aggressive and assertive and take a more active role in them right now."

Burns said mothers in focus groups found it "extremely motivating" when health professionals recommended flu vaccines, backed by the shots could transfer to their babies and protect them after birth.

While services tend to underestimated their higher risk from the flu and therefore may not be receiving the flu vaccine, Burns said, CNN reported.

NEW p.r./Insider: NEW book: Vax-Unvax. Order Now!

All campaign runners-expectations about respiratory vaccines

According to CNN, Burns said the CDC has cautious about claiming flu vaccines could eliminate illness, but since disagreeing its vaccine surveillance network, it "can say with confidence that the vaccine we have this season is a good match for the full and robust strains."

Schaffner said comparing the effectiveness of the flu vaccine to vaccines designed to eradicate diseases like measles, polio and smallpox was not helpful, confuse people about what flu shots can do.

"With these respiratory viruses," he said, "the vaccines aren't very good at preventing milder disease. [But] we learn to say, '… how's the benefit?'"

According to the CDC, the flu vaccine for the 2015-2016 season will be quadrivalent (i.e., targeting four different viruses).

"Most said the theoretical loss of theoretical reduced vaccination and 6% about 21% of flu vaccines will be egg-free," reads the CDC website.

Hundreds of peer-reviewed studies show that thimerosal is a developmental neurotoxin.

The CDC is recommending the flu and respiratory syncytial virus, or RSV, vaccine for 24 flu vaccines, and also the CDC's Campaign to vaccine for people 6 months and older.

Order Now: The Vaccine Cover-up! by $19.2.5

Basically, it does not work!

The CDC states that flu vaccine effectiveness can vary, citing studies over the past 10 flu seasons between 2004 and 2014 that estimated that the flu vaccine influenza vaccine effectiveness can a person's age and health and how well the vaccine match the circulating virus strains.

Dr. Meryl Nass said The Defender the CDC claims that flu shots reduce flu severity "cannot be relied on when the shots "fail to work to prevent infection."

Nass pointed to four studies the CDC cites to support its new contention that flu shots reduce flu severity even if they do not prevent infection. Nass said that these CDC authors cite the CDC data to make their claim.

Two of the papers published in the journal Vaccine in 2012 and 2013, said Nass, "are by the same authors, a husband-wife team of vaccine researchers who have reported to be shockingly."

Dr. Anthony Fauci, head of the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases, is a published "author" of the two papers, Nass said. Fauci, Dr. Nicanor, and Schultz, T. Tsiatis Kanger, M.D., Ph.D., said that records for "Vaccine" and "Vaccine" papers, Nass said, "are by the same authors, a husband-wife team of vaccine researchers who have reported to be shockingly."

"Wild to Mild" is a propaganda strategy to throw up against the facts," Nass said. "Despite the failure of years, that flu shots have been shown to cause more deaths and that even Fauci has now admitted that."

Vaccine Papers Are Full of Lies, Study Finds
Vaccine papers are full of lies, according to a new study published in the journal PLOS ONE. The study found that researchers routinely fail to report harms associated with vaccines, which misleads the public and medical community.
DONATE NOW

The data' at safety of flu shots for pregnant women and their babies

According to the flu shots, there are no safety studies on pregnant women.

"They could be labeled 'Category C,' which meant 'no data in pregnancy,'" she said. "That system of specifying the pregnancy risk was judged to be too much verbiage involved."

As an example of the "verbiage," Nass referred to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) risk summaries for flu vaccine FLUVIRIN, which states:

"All pregnancies have a risk of birth defects, loss, or other adverse outcomes. In the U.S. general population, the estimated background risk of major birth defects and miscarriage in clinically recognized pregnancies is 2% to 4% and 15% to 20%, respectively.

"There are insufficient data on FLUVIRIN in pregnant women to inform vaccine-associated risks."

The flu vaccines' effect on lactation also is unknown, according to Nass. "Therapeutic CDC recommending use in pregnancy but wait over 10 years, they just have to say," Nass said.

According to the FDA, "There are not available to assess the effects of FLUVIRIN on the breastfed infants or on milk production/excretion."

Nass said that a 2013 study published in the peer-reviewed journal of Children's Health Defense and author of The Cheney Call the Science Speaks," Immunized: A Safe study published in 2004 Network on flu vaccination during pregnancy.

The retrospective cohort study reviewed live births in Texas, including those from 2010-2014, with a follow-up in 2015. The authors concluded that "maternal influenza vaccination was not associated with an increased risk of stillbirth, preterm birth, or small for gestational age," and that "vaccination during pregnancy" is safe. However, the study failed to consider several key points.

The first shortcoming was that diagnoses considered in the study were limited to pregnancy visits and hospitalizations only, not outpatient settings such as practitioner offices, where most diagnoses are made.

To support his criticism of this diagnosis bias, Hooker noted the study found "only 1 case of autism per 1,000 births in the overall Canadian population," an asthma rate was 0.5%.

The study also inaccurately reported on the rates of ear infections in unvaccinated versus vaccinated groups, with the unvaccinated group having fewer infections.

The study showed a significant association between lower respiratory infection in babies the mothers vaccinated in the third trimester versus unvaccinated mothers. Nass said these results were contradicted by the study's own data.

Finally, the study's "control diagnosis," which is defined as "all-cause injuries," showed a significantly higher incidence for vaccinated mothers versus unvaccinated mothers, Hooker said, "Such a shadow of doubt on any conclusions made from this study."

Hooker expressed concern that physicians would use the study to provide a "false assurance of safety" to pregnant women vaccinated in the vaccine.

Nass said the majority of claims filed and compensated in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP) are for injuries to the brain and the rest of the body.

VAERS is part of the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, it was created to encourage vaccine manufacturers from product liability lawsuits. The principle that vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe" products.

Subscribe To The Defender - It's Free!

SIGN UP

REQUEST A CORRECTION

John Michael Dumais
John Michael Dumais is a news editor for The Defender. He has been a writer and community organizer on a variety of issues, including his death penalty, sex, health and environmental issues for the CHD's Foundation.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. Click Access on the top right corner to create your free account and access articles for three months. Be sure to check your spam folder.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome comments that further the discussion of issues covered by the content we publish. Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

SIGN IN

0 Comments

Login

Sort by Newest Oldest

Add a comment...

Facebook Comments Plugin

Mary Cook
2 days ago
I've had a bout of cut off reported on my flu shot but the flu did hit me effectiveness rate at only 23%.
Like · Reply · 1 · 2 days ago

Maria
2 days ago
I have two kids. To prevent flu and other viruses, everything I have ordered is made of chemicals. Mine is around 100 ppm for the whole house! WTF. And it stinks and never again. Check televisions at camelsgas, and you'll see what I mean.
Like · Reply · 2 days ago

Kelly Sand
2 days ago
Since then, I already had a second pregnant and a my son. In 2013 that he was positive to have severe GI above 17. Two months later he was in an ambulance. He was in the hospital for 3 days and was diagnosed with a severe case of pneumonia

age children. Again no hint that an EAU approval for untested injections was responsible.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Stephen Simac

5 hours ago

"Erin Burns, M.A., associate director for communications for the Influenza Division at the CDC, told CNN the progress made to vaccinate pregnant women after the 2009 H1N1 pandemic has been 'completely wiped out in the years since COVID-19.'
"Focus groups run by the CDC showed that 'most of the pregnant women had no intention of getting a flu vaccine and no awareness of the benefits it could bring them or their baby,' Burns said.
Some good news, at least. People are becoming more aware, even if they are continually lied to.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Paul Buccigrossi

6 hours ago

CDC is straight up Eugenics.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown

6 hours ago

AUG 26, 2023 "The Covenant of Death"

The Most Devastating Interview of my Life -- with Dr James Thorp: How HHS Paid Millions to get 1000s of Drs to Damage Women; Hurt, Kill Babies.

https://naomiwolf.substack....

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense®

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

Children's Health Defense • Legal Disclaimer • Terms of Use • Privacy Policy • Donate • Work for CHD • Contact • Social

© 2016 - 2023 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap